Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Gray Television Licensee, Inc.)	CSR-7009-N
Petition For Waiver of Sections 76.92(f) and)	CBIC-7007-1V
76 106(a) of the Commission's Rules	ĺ	

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Adopted: January 25, 2007 Released: January 31, 2007

By the Deputy Chief, Policy Division, Media Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Gray Television Licensee, Inc., licensee of station KWTX-TV (CBS, Ch. 10), Waco, Texas ("KWTX-TV"), filed the captioned petition seeking a waiver of the rules that preclude cable operators from deleting the duplicate programming of "significantly viewed" stations under the network nonduplication and syndicated exclusivity rules ("exclusivity rules"). Specifically, KWTX-TV seeks a waiver of the significantly viewed exception so that it may enforce its exclusivity rights against station KTVT-TV (CBS, Ch. 19), Dallas, Texas ("KTVT-TV"). KTVT-TV is considered to be significantly viewed in McLennan County, Texas, where the community of Waco, Texas, served by Time Warner Cable is located. No opposition to this petition has been received. For the reasons discussed below, we grant KWTX-TV's waiver request.

II. BACKGROUND

2. Upon the request of a local television station with exclusive rights to distribute a network

_

¹47 C.F.R. §§ 76.92(f) and 76.106(a). Although not expressly requested in KWTX-TV's petition for waiver of Sections 76.92(f) and 76.106(a) (significantly viewed exception to cable network nonduplication and syndicated exclusivity), a waiver of Sections 76.122(j) and 76.123(k) (significantly viewed exception to satellite network nonduplication and syndicated exclusivity) would also appertain based on the same showing that a station is no longer significantly viewed in the relevant community. *See* 47 C.F.R. §§ 76.92(f), 76.106(a), 76.122(j), and 76.123(k). *See* 47 U.S.C. §§ 340(a)(2) and 340(c). We also take this opportunity to remind petitioners that, in accordance with Section 76.7(c)(3), petitions should be accompanied by a certificate of service showing that affected cable operators, stations and interested persons, including satellite carriers, have been served. 47 C.F.R. § 76.7(c)(3).

²Petition at 1.

 $^{^{3}}Id.$ at 1-2.

or syndicated program, a cable operator generally may not carry a duplicating program broadcast by a distant station.⁴ Under Sections 76.92(f) and 76.106(a) of the Commission's rules, however, a signal otherwise subject to deletion is exempt from application of the exclusivity rules if it is "significantly viewed" in a relevant community (the "significantly viewed exception").⁵ The Commission's rules generally provide stations such protection within a station's 35-mile geographic zone.⁶ The significantly viewed exception to the exclusivity rules is based on a demonstration that an otherwise distant station receives a "significant" level of over-the-air viewership in a subject community. If this viewership level is met, the station is no longer considered distant for purposes of the application of the exclusivity rules because it has established that it is viewed over the air in the subject community.

- 3. In the 2005 Report and Order implementing Section 340 of the Communications Act, the Commission adopted a rule for satellite carriage that mirrors the rules for cable carriage. Accordingly, the amended Sections 76.122(a) and (j) and 76.123(a) and (k) of the Commission's rules allow a station or distributor with exclusive rights to network or syndicated programming to assert exclusivity protection to require satellite carriers to delete such programming. The duplicating station may respond to such assertions by claiming the significantly viewed exception. The party asserting exclusivity protection may request a waiver of the significantly viewed exception from the Commission by demonstrating that the station is no longer significantly viewed in a particular community or communities. If the waiver is granted, the duplicating programming must be deleted by a cable operator or satellite carrier if the station is carried in a community in which the station has been shown to no longer be significantly viewed. It should be noted that the station itself is not removed from the significantly viewed list and may continue to be carried, provided the necessary programming deletions are made.
- 4. In order to obtain a waiver of the significantly viewed exception to the exclusivity rules, the Commission held in *KCST-TV*, *Inc.*¹¹ that petitioners would be required to demonstrate for two consecutive years that a station was no longer significantly viewed, based either on community-specific or system-specific over-the-air viewing data, following the methodology set forth in Section 76.54(b) of the Commission's rules. ¹² For each year, the data must be the result of independent professional surveys taken

⁴See 47 C.F.R. §§76.92 and 76.101.

⁵ 47 C.F.R. §§ 76.92(f) and 76.106(a); see 47 C.F.R. §§ 76.5(i) and 76.54.

⁶The 35-mile geographic zone of all major markets and the 55-mile geographic zone around all smaller markets extend from the reference point of the community of license of the television station. These reference points are listed in Section 76.53 of the Commission's rules. Where a community's reference point is not given, the geographic coordinates of the main post office in the community shall be used. *See* 47 C.F.R. §§ 73.658 and 76.53.

⁷Implementation of the Satellite Home Viewer Extension and Reauthorization Act of 2004; Implementation of Section 340 of the Communications Act, MB Docket No. 05-49, Report and Order, 20 FCC Rcd 17278 (2005) ("SHVERA Significantly Viewed Report and Order").

⁸47 C.F.R. §§ 76.122(j)(2) and 76.123(k)(2).

⁹See KCST-TV. Inc., 103 FCC 2d 407 (1986).

¹⁰See SHVERA Significantly Viewed Report and Order at ¶¶ 39-41; see also 47 U.S.C. § 340(e)(2).

¹¹103 FCC 2d 407 (1986).

¹² Section 76.54(b) describes the required survey procedures for adding a station to the significantly viewed list based on community or system-specific surveys. For the addition of a station to the list, only one year's surveys are required. In *KCST*, the Commission required that the data demonstrate viewing levels for two years. (continued...)

during two one-week periods separated by at least 30 days, the viewing samples must be distributed proportionately among the relevant cable communities, and not more than one of the surveys may be taken between April and September of each year.¹³ Under Section 76.5(i) of the Commission's rules, network stations¹⁴ are considered significantly viewed if the survey results show more than a 3 percent share of total viewing hours and a net weekly circulation of 25 percent, by at least one standard error.¹⁵ Independent stations (*i.e.*, non-network stations), are considered significantly viewed if the survey results show more than a 2 percent share of total viewing hours and a net weekly circulation of 5 percent, by at least one standard error.¹⁶ The Commission has found that this type of test is applicable as well for waivers of the syndicated exclusivity exemption.¹⁷

III. DISCUSSION

5. KWTX-TV states that it is licensed to a community in the Waco-Temple-Bryan, Texas designated market area ("DMA"), while KTVT-TV is licensed to a community located in the Dallas-Ft. Worth, Texas DMA. KWTX-TV argues that it would normally be entitled to assert exclusivity protection against KTVT-TV in the largest community in the Waco DMA, but it cannot because KTVT-TV is considered significantly viewed in McLennan County, Texas, where Waco is located. KWTX-TV maintains, however, that KTVT-TV no longer meets the significantly viewed standard in Waco and, as proof, it submits the results of a special community-specific survey conducted by Nielsen Media Research. KWTX-TV states that Nielsen conducted a special tabulation of over-the-air viewing using diaries from noncable/non-ADS homes for specified zip codes for the community of Waco. The first year's survey audience estimates were based on February 2004/November 2004 data, combined, and the

In the SHVERA Significantly Viewed Report and Order, we clarified that the independent professional audience surveys required by Section 76.54 of our rules must include surveys only from households that receive broadcast signals via an over-the-air antenna and thus amended Section 76.54 to change "noncable" to "over-the-air." See SHVERA Significantly Viewed Report and Order at ¶ 32.

^{(...}continued from previous page)

¹³See 47 C.F.R. §76.54(b).

¹⁴ For purposes of determining whether to use the network or non-network standard for audience share, the Commission relies on the definition of network and independent station in our rules. Thus, for such purposes, affiliates of the ABC, CBS, and NBC networks are "network stations." *See* 47 C.F.R. §76.5(j) and (k). Other stations are treated as independent stations for this limited purpose. *See* 47 C.F.R. §76.5(j); *SHVERA Significantly Viewed Report and Order* at ¶¶ 33-36.

¹⁵47 C.F.R. §76.5(i).

¹⁶ *Id*.

¹⁷See Chambers Cable of Oregon, Inc., 5 FCC Rcd 5640 (1990).

¹⁸Petition at 1.

¹⁹Id at 2. KWTX-TV states that KTVT-TV achieved its significantly viewed status by its inclusion in Appendix B to the *Reconsideration of the Cable Television Report and Order*, 36 FCC 2d 326, 378 (1972).

²⁰*Id.* at Exhibit 1.

²¹Id. Nielsen Media Research defines Alternative Delivery Source ("ADS") to include the following technologies: satellite (C-Band), DBS (Ku-Band), SMATV (master antennae), and MMDS (includes multichannel multi-point and multi-point distribution service). Thus, noncable/non-ADS homes are those that do not subscribe to an MVPD, and view the broadcast signal in question off-air. See Nielsen Media Research at http://www.nielsenmedia.com/nc/portal/site/Public/.

second year's estimates on the February 2005/November 2005 data, combined.²² These survey dates and the method used to combine audience surveys are consistent with the requirements set forth in Section 76.54(b) of the Commission's rules.²³ KWTX-TV states that KTVT-TV's share of total viewing hours in over-the-air homes in Waco falls far short of the required significantly viewed minimums, within one standard error, as shown in the table below:

TABLE 1 – KTVT-TV VIEWING IN WACO, TX

Survey Year ²⁴	Households Studied	Share Viewing Hours	Standard Error	Net Weekly Circulation	Standard Error
Feb. 2004/ Nov. 2004	17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Feb. 2005/ Nov. 2005	27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

As a result, KWTX-TV requests that the Commission grant its petition so that it can assert its exclusivity rights in Waco, Texas.

6. We find that KWTX-TV made the requisite showing to support its petition. As required by the rules, KWTX-TV has provided community-specific survey results for each year surveyed. In the combined results obtained by Nielsen for both years, the share of total viewing hours and net weekly circulation share is zero.²⁵ Because the submitted data represent the lack of viewing from a reasonable sample, we can conclude that KTVT-TV attains approximately no viewing in the community of Waco. Accordingly, we find that the submitted audience surveys are sufficient to show that KTVT-TV no longer attains the viewing levels needed to demonstrate significantly viewed status in the community of Waco, Texas, and we grant KWTX-TV's request.

 $^{^{22}}Id$

²³47 C.F.R. § 76.54(b).

²⁴The survey dates of February 2004/November 2004 and February 2005/November 2005 meet the criteria set forth in the rules and *KCST-TV* that the two one-week surveys be separated by at least 30 days and that both surveys may not occur between April and September.

²⁵We note that, initially, Exhibit 1 to KWTX-TV's petition did not provide the appropriate documentation to confirm that the survey data submitted was performed by Nielsen. KWTX-TV subsequently provided this verification at the request of Commission staff.

IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

- 7. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED**, that the petition filed by Gray Television Licensee, Inc. **IS GRANTED**.
- 8. This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated under Section 0.283 of the Commission's rules.²⁶

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Steven A. Broeckaert Deputy Chief, Policy Division Media Bureau

5

²⁶47 C.F.R. §0.283.